

# EXTRAORDINARY PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 795 CUTTACK, SATURDAY, JUNE 20, 2009/JAISTHA 30, 1931

#### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

### **NOTIFICATION**

The 6th June 2009

No. 5089—Ii/1(SS)-47/2006(Pt.)-L E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 24th March 2009 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 11 of 2007 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Sambalpur to whom the industrial disputes between the Management of SAIL, Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela, Dist. Sundargarh and their workman Shri B. B. Routha, bearing P. L. No. 33936, Senior Technician of Crane Maintenance Department represented through Rourkela Shramik Sangha, Rourkela, D-81, Sector-18, Rourkela-3 was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below:

#### SCHEDULE

## IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, SAMBALPUR INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE NO. 11 OF 2007

Dated the 24th March 2009

Present:

Smt. Suchismita Misra, LL.м.,

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Sambalpur

Between:

The Management of SAIL

First Party—Management

Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela, Dist. Sundragarh

And

Their Workman

. Second Party—Workman

Shri B. B. Routha, bearing P. L. No. 33936, Senior Technician of Crane Maintenance Department represented through Rourkela Shramik Sangha, Rourkela, D/81, Sector-18,

Rourkela-3

Appearances:

For the First Party—Management	 None
For the Second Party—Workman	 None

#### **AWARD**

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department in exercise of powers conferred upon them by sub-section (5) of Section 12 read with Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) and by their Order No. 2925—Ii-1(SS)-47/2006-L.E., dated the 31st March 2007 have referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Court:—

"Whether the action of the Management of Rourkela Steel Plant in inflicting the major punishment on Shri B. B. Routha, P. L. No. 33936, Senior Technician, Crane Maintenance Department by way of reducing his basic pay from Rs. 8,632 to Rs. 8,320 is legal and/or justified? If not, to what relief Shri Routha is entitled?"

2. On notices being sent, the workman did not appear nor filed his statement of claim for the reasons best known to him. From this it can safely be inferred that the workman has no dispute with the Management or they have settled their dispute outside the Court in the meantime. Accordingly an award of no dispute is passed in so far as the reference is concerned.

Dictated and corrected by me.

SUCHISMITA MISRA 24-3-2009 Presiding Officer Labour Court, Sambalpur SUCHISMITA MISRA 24-3-2009 Presiding Officer Labour Court, Sambalpur

By order of the Governor

K. C. BASKE

Under-Secretary to Government

Printed and Published by the Director, Printing, Stationery and Publication, Orissa, Cuttack-10 Ex. Gaz. 312–193+11